



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,891	03/30/2004	Satoshi Kitamura	SIC-04-007	2890
29863 75	590 01/04/2006		EXAM	INER
DELAND LA	W OFFICE	LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE		
P.O. BOX 69 KLAMATH RIVER, CA 96050-0069			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/708,891	KITAMURA, SATOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lee Lum	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	<u> October 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copie	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) [] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	n/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/708,891

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Amendment was filed 10/17/05.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The (single) amendment of "voltage decreasing", replacing "current drawing", is considered new matter because the original description in the Spec did not indicate any similar language, and the new language is not equivalent to the previous language.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claims 1 and 4-7, the amendments of "voltage decreasing", replacing "current drawing", are considered new matter because the new language is not equivalent to the previous language.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,891

Art Unit: 3611

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Shu-Hsien 6152250.

Re Claims 1, 2 and 7, Shu-Hsien discloses a bicycle power supply apparatus comprising

Battery BA,

Bicycle-condition detector/processor U2 detecting a selected condition that ordinarily does not require current from the battery (c4, ln 52-57, with emphasis on "the speed ratio would become very large which causes the microprocessor U2 to…stop the power supply to the motor E" – i.e., battery power would be unnecessary at high speeds)

Voltage decreasing unit VR (that comprises the processor U2; c3, In 54-57) that decreases voltage from the battery when the detector detects the selected condition (implied in same disclosure; handgrip comprising the VR.is not activated by the rider),

Wherein the voltage decreasing unit/processor powers/controls motor driver U3, as provided in c5, ln 6-12.

Re Claim 3, the reference discloses the elements as provided above, but does not explicitly disclose the condition as a stopped condition. Although not specifically stated, this function is inherent because power assist is activated via both actuation of the handgrip, and positive data from speed detection disk 10. So, if the handgrip is not actuated, and no speed detected by the disk, the processor would detect a stopped condition, and power assist would not be activated.

Art Unit: 3611

5. Claims 11-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior art does not disclose an apparatus comprising, inter alia,

A bicycle condition detecting unit,

A current drawing unit, and,

An input switch coupled to a battery.

6. RESPONSE TO REMARKS

Examiner provides new rejections for Claims 1-10, including 112 rejections, necessitated by amendments.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3611

8. Communication with the Examiner/USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication, or others, should be directed to Ms. Lum at 571 272-6649, M-F, 9-5. If she cannot be reached, her supervisor, Ms. Lesley Morris, may be reached at 571 272-6651. Our central fax number is 571 273 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) System. For unpublished applications - private PAIR only. For published applications - private or public PAIR. For more information re PAIR - http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Questions re private PAIR - contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866 217-9197.

LESLEY D. MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Lesley D Morni

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Ms. Lee S. Lum-Vannucci

Examiner 12/8/05